IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

APPELLATE SIDE CIVIL JURISDICTION

Writ Petition No.428 of 2005

Bharatiya Suraksha Rakshak & Gen Kamgar Union ..petitioners
vs
The Security Guards Board & ors..Respondents

Mr. R Sunil Kumar for petitioners
Ms Pallavi Divekar for respondent no. l
Mr A A Patwardhan for respondent no.2
Mr. K S Bapat for respondent no.3

CORAM: A.P.SHAH & D.Y.CHANDRACHUD JJ.

Dated l.7.2005

P.C:

. Rule. Respondents waive service. By consent, Rule is made returnable forthwith.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the respondent no.2 stated that the respondent no. 2 has been registered with the respondent Board and will employ only security guards supplied by the Board or employ guards on regular basis. He stated that out of l4 guards listed at Exh A to the petition, guards listed at Srl No 3,5,7,9, and l3 are not presently in the employment of the respondent no. 2.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners stated

that the guards listed at Exh A will apply to the Board for registration within two weeks from today. The Board shall decide the said applications within four weeks from the date of receipt thereof and as far as possible allot the guards presently working with the respondent no. 2 to the establishment of the respondent no.2. As far as the remaining 5 guards are concerned the Board shall assign them to any other establishment registered with the Board.

. It is needless to say that the 9 security guards who are presently in the employment of respondent no.2 shall be continued in service subject to orders by the Board.Petition disposed of.